arXiv:cond-mat/0012420v1 22 Dec 2000

Random Dirac Fermions: The su(N) Gauge Potential and $\mathbb{Z}_N$ Twists

Miraculous J. Bhaseen*

Physics Department, University of Oxford, Theoretical Physics, 1 Keble Road, Oxford, OX1 3NP, U.K.

February 1, 2008

We obtain the disorder averaged (critical) four-point correlation functions for N species of (two-dimensional Euclidean) Dirac fermions subject to a (Gaussian) random su(N) gauge field. The replica approach and the strong disorder approach yield identical results, as one might expect in the light of recent developments in the $N = 2$ case [1]. We establish a connection with 'dressed' $\mathbb{Z}_N$ twist fields in the $c = -2$ logarithmic conformal field theory (LCFT), thereby extending the recent connection to 'dressed' $\mathbb{Z}_2$ twists [1,2]. We draw attention to the fact that 'dressed' $\mathbb{Z}_2$ twist operators have previously appeared in the description of half flux-quantum quasiholes in the bulk excitations of the Haldane–Rezayi Quantum Hall state [3].

I. INTRODUCTION

A remarkable connection between the problem of Dirac fermions in a random su(2) gauge field and the $c = -2$ LCFT has recently emerged [1, 2]. The disorder averaged correlation functions of the so-called Q-matrix in the su(2) random gauge problem — from which one obtains correlation functions of the local density of states (LDOS) [1, 5, 6] — are those of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ twist fields in the $c = -2$ LCFT 'dressed' (or augmented) by the exponential of a free bosonic field. In this paper we demonstrate that the connection holds beyond the $N = 2$ case in that disorder averaged correlation functions in the su(N) problem are obtained by 'dressing' those of $\mathbb{Z}_N$ twists.

The problem of random Dirac fermions in an Abelian vector potential was originally introduced in the context of the plateau transitions in the Integer Quantum Hall Effect [4]. The non-Abelian version of this problem appeared in a treatment of disordered two-dimensional d-wave superconductivity [5,6] and has been the subject of deeper investigation [1, 7–13]. The $c = -2$ LCFT has also been studied extensively in connection with dense polymers [14,15], the Haldane–Rezayi Quantum Hall state [3] and, somewhat more generally, as an instructive example of a LCFT [16–20]. That the random gauge field problem and the $c = -2$ LCFT bear a close relationship is intriguing, and warrants further investigation.

The structure of this paper is as follows: in section II we use the replica approach to study the four-point function of the Q-matrix for the su(N) problem. The reliability of the

*bhaseen@thphys.ox.ac.uk

replica approach is confirmed by independent calculation in the limit of infinite disorder strength (Appendix A) as one might expect given the recent convergence of results for three[1] distinct treatments of the $N = 2$ case [1]. The Q-matrix is governed by a non-linear sigma model (familiar in the conventional theory of localization) with the addition of a WZNW term (which ensures criticality.) The Q-matrix correlation functions are obtained from the solution of the $\mathrm{su}(r)_N$ Knizhnik–Zamolodchikov equations (in the replica $r \to 0$ limit) 'dressed' by the exponential of a free bosonic field, as was first elucidated in [5]. We demonstrate that this correlation function assumes a simple form in terms of a (N-species) generalization of the (complete) elliptic integrals. The ordinary (complete) elliptic integrals have played an instrumental rôle in establishing the connection between the random gauge field problem and 'dressed' $\mathbb{Z}_2$ twist operators of the $c = -2$ LCFT [1, 2, 21]. In an analogous manner, the generalized elliptic integrals enable one to establish a connection to 'dressed' $\mathbb{Z}_N$ twist operators of the form appearing in [14,22]. Finally, we present concluding remarks and a brief appendix on the most significant identities which appear in the strong disorder treatment of the $\mathrm{su}(N)$ problem — the fermionic 'dressing' of the $su(N)_{-2N}$ WZNW model [8, 11, 13].

II. REPLICAS AND $\mathbb{Z}_N$ TWISTS

As is discussed in section III of reference [7], the four-point correlation function of the Q-matrix (with all replica indices set to unity) reads

$$\langle Q_{11}(1)Q^\dagger_{11}(2)Q_{11}(3)Q^\dagger_{11}(4)\rangle \sim$$
$$|z_{13}z_{24}|^{-2/N^2}|z(1-z)|^{-2/N^2}\,[F_{11}+F_{12}+F_{21}+F_{22}] \tag{2.1}$$

where $z = z_{12}z_{34}/z_{13}z_{24}$ and

$$F_{ij} = F^{(1)}_i(z)F^{(2)}_j(\bar z) + F^{(2)}_i(z)F^{(1)}_j(\bar z) \tag{2.2}$$

are single-valued combinations of the solutions to the $\mathrm{su}(r)_N$ Knizhnik–Zamolodchikov equations in the $r \to 0$ limit [7, 23]. In the replica limit these equations are of the form

$$Nz\frac{dF_1}{dz} = -F_2, \quad N(1-z)\frac{dF_2}{dz} = F_1 \tag{2.3}$$

and admit solutions in terms of the ordinary hypergeometric functions[2]

---

[1]Namely, supersymmetry, replicas, and in the limit of infinite disorder strength where a special treatment is possible.

[2]The prescribed normalization of these solutions ensures the single-valuedness (monodromy invariance) of the combination (2.2).

$$F_1^{(1)} = {}_2F_1[-\tfrac{1}{N},\tfrac{1}{N};1;z] \tag{2.4a}$$
$$F_1^{(2)} = (1-z)\ {}_2F_1[1-\tfrac{1}{N},1+\tfrac{1}{N};2;1-z] \tag{2.4b}$$
$$F_2^{(1)} = \frac{z}{N}\ {}_2F_1[1-\tfrac{1}{N},1+\tfrac{1}{N};2;z] \tag{2.4c}$$
$$F_2^{(2)} = N\ {}_2F_1[-\tfrac{1}{N},\tfrac{1}{N};1;1-z] \tag{2.4d}$$

It is a straightforward exercise in the application of the Gauss recursion relations for the ordinary hypergeometric function to demonstrate that

$$F_{11}+F_{12}+F_{21}+F_{22} = \frac{4N}{\pi^2}\left[K_N(z)K_N(1-\bar{z})+K_N(\bar{z})K_N(1-z)\right] \tag{2.5}$$

where we have defined the generalized (complete) elliptic integral of the first kind[3]

$$K_N \equiv \frac{\pi}{2}\ {}_2F_1[1-\tfrac{1}{N},\tfrac{1}{N};1;z]. \tag{2.6}$$

That is to say, upto overall normalization,

$$\langle Q_{11}(1)Q_{11}^\dagger(2)Q_{11}(3)Q_{11}^\dagger(4)\rangle \sim$$
$$|z_{13}z_{24}|^{-2/N^2}|z(1-z)|^{-2/N^2}\left[K_N(z)K_N(1-\bar{z})+K_N(1-z)K_N(\bar{z})\right]. \tag{2.7}$$

We invite the reader to compare this four-point function with that of $\mathbb{Z}_N$ twist operators of the $c=-2$ LCFT as discussed in the context of dense polymers — see equations (83) and (84) of reference [14] and note the small typing error in the prefactor:

$$\langle \sigma_+(1)\sigma_-(2)\sigma_+(3)\sigma_-(4)\rangle \sim$$
$$|z_{13}z_{24}|^{2k/N(1-k/N)}|z(1-z)|^{2k/N(1-k/N)}\left[F_{k/N}(z)F_{k/N}(1-\bar{z})+F_{k/N}(1-z)F_{k/N}(\bar{z})\right]. \tag{2.8}$$

Here σ_+ stands for the $\mathbb{Z}_N$ twist operator $\sigma_{k/N}$, σ_- stands for the $\mathbb{Z}_N$ anti-twist operator, both of conformal dimension

$$h = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{k}{N}\left(1-\frac{k}{N}\right) \tag{2.9}$$

and where

$$F_{k/N} = {}_2F_1[1-\tfrac{k}{N},\tfrac{k}{N};1;z]. \tag{2.10}$$

For more details we refer the reader to §3.2 of [14]. In particular setting $k=1$ in equations (2.8) and (2.10) one obtains

$$\langle \sigma_+(1)\sigma_-(2)\sigma_+(3)\sigma_-(4)\rangle \sim$$
$$|z_{13}z_{24}|^{2/N(1-1/N)}|z(1-z)|^{2/N(1-1/N)}\left[K_N(z)K_N(1-\bar{z})+K_N(\bar{z})K_N(1-z)\right] \tag{2.11}$$

---

[3]The factor of $\pi/2$ ensures that K_2 agrees with the usual definition of K. We note that the generalized (complete) elliptic integral of the second kind assumes the form $E_N = \frac{\pi}{2}\ {}_2F_1[-\frac{1}{N},\frac{1}{N};1;z]$.

It is readily seen that equations (2.7) and (2.11) coincide upto a simple prefactor to which we now turn our attention. Adopting the conventions appearing in chapter 9 of [24] we consider the four-point correlation functions of the exponentials of free bosonic fields

$$\nu_\alpha = e^{i\sqrt{2}\alpha\varphi} \tag{2.12}$$

governed by the action

$$S = \frac{1}{8\pi}\int d^2x\, \partial_\mu\varphi\partial^\mu\varphi. \tag{2.13}$$

and of conformal dimension $h = \alpha^2$. The four-point function of such fields is

$$\langle\nu_\alpha(1)\nu_{-\alpha}(2)\nu_\alpha(3)\nu_{-\alpha}(4)\rangle = |(z_{13}z_{24})|^{-4\alpha^2}|z(1-z)|^{-4\alpha^2} \tag{2.14}$$

The necessary compensating prefactor between equations (2.7) and (2.11) is obtained by setting $\alpha^2 = 1/2N$. That is to say, one obtains the following identification between the Q-matrix and the 'dressed' $\mathbb{Z}_N$ twist operator of $c = -2$:

$$Q \sim e^{i\varphi/\sqrt{N}}\,\sigma_+, \quad Q^\dagger \sim e^{-i\varphi/\sqrt{N}}\,\sigma_- \tag{2.15}$$

Indeed, the conformal dimensions of the bosonic exponent and the $\mathbb{Z}_N$ twist operator (with k=1) add up to

$$\frac{1}{2N} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{N}\left(1 - \frac{1}{N}\right) = \frac{1}{2N^2} \tag{2.16}$$

which is the known conformal dimension of the Q-matrix/LDOS [5].

III. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have discussed the explicit connection between Dirac fermions in a random su(N) gauge field and $\mathbb{Z}_N$ twists in the $c = -2$ LCFT. This generalizes the 'dressing' of the $h = -1/8$ twist field of relevance in the $N = 2$ case [1, 2]. We note that we have not discussed the $N > 2$ analogue of 'dressing' the $h = 3/8$ twist field, but one anticipates a connection to the excited twist fields $\tau_{k/N}$ disussed in [14].

Given that dressed $\mathbb{Z}_2$ twist operators are deemed respsonsible for the creation of half flux-quantum quasiholes in the bulk excitations of the Haldane–Rezayi Quantum Hall state — see section V of reference [3] — one might expect a $\mathbb{Z}_N$ generalization to shed some light on the su(N) random gauge field problem.

These issues are under current investigation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to thank J.-S. Caux, I. I. Kogan, A. M. Tsvelik, for stimulating and valuable discussions. The author would also like to thank EPSRC for financial support.

APPENDIX A: STRONG DISORDER

In the limit of strong disorder one may obtain the correlation functions of the Q-matrix by a fermionic 'dressing' of the solutions to the su$(N)_{-2N}$ Knizhnik–Zamolodchikov equations [8,11,13]. These solutions may be written in the form $F_i^{(a)} = z^{1-1/N^2}(1-z)^{1-1/N^2}\mathcal{F}_i^{(a)}$ where

$$\mathcal{F}_1^{(1)} = (1-z)\ {}_2F_1[2-\tfrac{1}{N}, 2+\tfrac{1}{N}; 2; z] \tag{A1a}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_1^{(2)} = (1-z)\ {}_2F_1[2-\tfrac{1}{N}, 2+\tfrac{1}{N}; 3; 1-z] \tag{A1b}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_2^{(1)} = -\frac{1}{2N} z\ {}_2F_1[2-\tfrac{1}{N}, 2+\tfrac{1}{N}; 3; z] \tag{A1c}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_2^{(2)} = -2N z\ {}_2F_1[2-\tfrac{1}{N}, 2+\tfrac{1}{N}; 2; 1-z]. \tag{A1d}$$

Dressing with fermions leads one to consider single-valued combinations of the functions

$$g^{(a)} = N\mathcal{F}_1^{(a)} + \mathcal{F}_2^{(a)} + \frac{z}{1-z}[N\mathcal{F}_2^{(a)} + \mathcal{F}_1^{(a)}]. \tag{A2}$$

A straightforward but tedious application of the Gauss recursion relations for hypergeometric functions allows one to recover the generalized (complete) elliptic integrals:

$$g^{(1)} = \frac{2N}{\pi(1-z)} K_N(z), \quad g^{(2)} = -\frac{4N^2}{\pi(1-z)} K_N(1-z) \tag{A3a}$$

In this manner one is able to recover the results of the replica approach.

---

[1] M. J. Bhaseen, J.-S. Caux, I. I. Kogan, and A. M. Tsvelik, cond-mat/0012240.
[2] A. W. W. Ludwig, cond-mat/0012189.
[3] V. Gurarie, M. Flohr, and C. Nayak, Nucl. Phys. **B498**, 513 (1997).
[4] A. W. W. Ludwig, M. P. A. Fisher, R. Shankar, and G. Grinstein, Phys. Rev. B **50**, 7526 (1994).
[5] A. A. Nersesyan, A. M. Tsvelik, and F. Wenger, Phys. Rev. Lett **72**, 2628 (1994).
[6] A. A. Nersesyan, A. M. Tsvelik, and F. Wenger, Nucl. Phys. **B438**, 561 (1995).
[7] J.-S. Caux, I. I. Kogan, and A. M. Tsvelik, Nucl. Phys. **B466**, 444 (1996).
[8] C. Chamon, C. Mudry, and X.-G. Wen, Nucl. Phys. **B466**, 383 (1996).
[9] I. I. Kogan, C. Mudry, and A. M. Tsvelik, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 707 (1996).
[10] J.-S. Caux, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 4196 (1998).
[11] J.-S. Caux, N. Taniguchi, and A. M. Tsvelik, Phys. Rev. Lett (1998).
[12] J.-S. Caux, N. Taniguchi, and A. M. Tsvelik, Nucl. Phys. **B525**, 621 (1998).
[13] D. Bernard, hep-th/9509137.
[14] H. Saleur, Nucl. Phys. **B382**, 486 (1992).
[15] E. V. Ivashkevich, J. Phys. **A32**, 1691 (1999).
[16] V. Gurarie, Nucl. Phys. **B410**, 535 (1993).

[17] H. G. Kausch, hep-th/9510149.
[18] H. G. Kausch, Nucl. Phys. **B570**, 699 (2000).
[19] M. R. Gaberdiel and H. G. Kausch, Nucl. Phys. **B538**, 631 (1999).
[20] I. I. Kogan and J. F. Wheater, Phys. Lett. **B486**, 353 (2000).
[21] M. J. Bhaseen, cond-mat/0011229.
[22] L. Dixon, D. Friedan, E. Martinec, and S. Shenker, Nucl. Phys. **B282**, 13 (1987).
[23] V. G. Knizhnik and A. B. Zamolodchikov, Nucl. Phys. **B247**, 83 (1984).
[24] P. D. Francesco, P. Mathieu, and D. Sénéchal, *Conformal Field Theory* (Springer, 1997).